

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 ROGER DORN BALDWIN,

11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 GEICO GENERAL INSURANCE  
14 COMPANY, et al.,

15 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-cv-00807-RFB-NJK

ORDER

(Docket No. 37)

16 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to compel. Docket No. 37. The "argument"  
17 presented consists primarily of a block quotation of Rule 26, brief discussion of an insurer's duty of  
18 good faith, and copying-and-pasting the discovery and objections thereto. Docket No. 37 at 6-14.  
19 Discovery disputes are not immune from the basic requirement that parties meaningfully develop their  
20 arguments. *See, e.g., Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 582 n.3 (D. Nev. 2013).  
21 Identifying discovery objections and asserting without elaboration that they "are frivolous and without  
22 merit," *see* Docket No. 37 at 12, is woefully insufficient to permit judicial review. Accordingly, the  
23 motion to compel is **DENIED** without prejudice. Any renewed motion must present specific, developed  
24 argument separately for each disputed discovery request addressing each objection.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: October 2, 2017

27  
28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge